



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,448	09/30/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14310US02	5601
23446 7590 10/24/2008 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661				
EXAMINER LANGHNOJA, KUNAL N				
ART UNIT 2427		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 10/24/2008		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/675,448

**Applicant(s)**

KARAOGUZ ET AL.

**Examiner**

KUNAL LANGHNOJA

**Art Unit**

2427

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2008.  
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.  
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.  
7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 May 2008 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_  
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  
6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Miscellaneous***

Please note that the examiner of record for this application has changed.

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 16 September 2008 has been entered.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Regarding claim 1, Applicant argues that Chen does not describe, teach, or suggest and fails to anticipate the claimed "authorization level established by a user command." According to the specification of the current application, Paragraph 0050 is cited below.

A user may also initiate certain media peripheral commands that may automatically cause media to be routed to other users as soon as the digital media is created. In this regard, a user may setup or initialize a media peripheral with a level of authorization that may cause media to be automatically routed or migrated. For example, as soon as a user takes a picture with a digital camera 10 at the first location or user's home 1, the digital picture file that is generated may be automatically routed or forwarded to certain friends and family members at, for example, the second or remote location 2 via the communication infrastructure 5. The exchange may be automatically carried out by television exchange processing platform 22 in media processing system 16 without any user intervention or interaction, except for the taking of the picture.

In light of the specification provided by the applicant, level of authorization is simply routing the media to a different location. In similarity, Chen teaches user may update profile indicating he/she is visiting relative's house, which routes any alerts to relative's location.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al (United States Patent 6,553,100).

With respect to Claim 1, the claimed *"receiving, at a first geographic location, an alert from a first device coupled to the communication network"* is met by Chen et al. that teach the use of an intelligent processor (100) in receiving an alert from alarm event detectors (510,520) via a network (200) at a 1st geographic location, i.e. a subscribers' home (*Abstract; Fig.1&5; col.1, lines 17-19; col. 1, lines 54-55; col.2; lines 27-32; col.5, lines 51-54; & col.9, lines 47-48*). The claimed *"generating within a home; a message corresponding to said received alert;"* is met by Chen et al. that teach the generation &

transmittal by an intelligent processor (100), located on-premise. (Fig.5; col.1, lines 61-67; Col.2 lines 42-46, col.6, lines 40-48; col.8, lines 46-53; & col.9, lines 54-57).

The claimed "automatically routing said generated message to a location that is remote(i.e. relative's house across country) from said first geographic location (user's home), based on a prior authorization level established by a user command(i.e. user updating profile to route alerts to relative's house), wherein said routing is performed prior to communicating said generated message to any device within said first geographic location (i.e. user updating profile will route the alert to the relative's house instead of on-premise devices)." (col.4, lines 51-67).

With respect to Claim 2, the claimed *"comprising displaying said generated message along with a media broadcast on said television screen within said home"* is met by Chen et al. that teach the transmittal of an alert message to a user's television while they are watching a media broadcast (col.1, lines 61-67; col.3; lines 47-53 and col. 8, lines 34-39 & lines 56-59).

With respect to Claim 3, the claimed *"comprising receiving an acknowledgement of said displayed message via a user selection"* is met by Chen et al. that teach the acknowledgement of an alert by the use of an alert acknowledgement input device (318) (Fig.4; col.4, lines 7-11 and col.9, lines 18-25 & lines 58-61).

With respect to Claim 4, the claimed *"comprising receiving said acknowledgement via a remote control that controls functions for said television"* is met by Chen et al. that teach the use of a remote control in acknowledging an alert (col.4, lines 7-11 and col.9, lines 21-25).

With respect to Claim 5, the claimed *"comprising terminating display of said generated message upon said receiving of said acknowledgement"* is met by Chen et al. that teach the termination of an alert message once a user acknowledges it (*Fig.5; col.4; lines 12-16; & col. 9, lines 58-67*).

With respect to Claim 6, the claimed *"wherein said alert indicates a status of at least said first device and a second device"* is met by Chen et al. that teach the use of two alarm event detectors (510,520) that can be integrated into a burglary alarm system, a fire alarm system, a washing machine overflow alert system, an elders emergency alarm system, a kitchen appliance malfunction alarm system, and/or the like. (*Fig.1; col. 5, lines 25-37 & 45-58*).

With respect to Claim 7, the claimed *"wherein the first device is located outside said home and said second device is located within said home"* is met by Chen et al. that teach the use of alert event detectors (510,520) can either be on-premise or off-premise and directly coupled to the intelligent processor (100) via a network (200). (*Fig.1; col.5, lines 26-37 & 51-58*).

With respect to Claim 8, the claimed *"comprising receiving said alert via at least one of a wired and a wireless connection"* is met by Chen et al. that teach a the reception of an alert by an intelligent processor (100) via a communication network (200), such as: a Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN), a cellular network, a data network, an Internet Protocol (IP) network, an Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) network, a circuit switched network, a Voice-over Internet (VOIP) network, a radio or television broadcasting network, and a cable network. (*Fig.1; col.2, lines 34-41*).

With respect to Claim 9, the claimed "*comprising displaying said generated message for a predetermined period of time*" is met by Chen et al. that teach the displaying of an alert message until the time an alert acknowledgement is received by the user, either by a simple pressing of a button on a remote control or by the entering of a Personal Identification Number (PIN). (*col.4, lines 7-16; col.9, lines 21-34, 58-67*).

With respect to Claim 10, the claimed "*comprising displaying said generated message in one or more of a pop-up window, a picture-in-picture (PIP) window and/or a banner on said television screen*" is met by Chen et al. that teach the displaying of an alert notification via a pop-up window, a picture-in-picture (PIP) window and/or a banner on a television screen. (*col.1, lines 61-67; col.3, lines 47-53; col.8, lines 54-59*).

Claims 11 & 21 are met as previously discussed with respect to Claim 1.

Claims 12 & 22 are met as previously discussed with respect to Claim 2.

Claims 13 & 23 are met as previously discussed with respect to Claim 3.

Claims 14 & 24 are met as previously discussed with respect to Claim 4.

Claims 15 & 25 are met as previously discussed with respect to Claim 5.

Claims 16 & 26 are met as previously discussed with respect to Claim 6.

Claims 17 & 27 are met as previously discussed with respect to Claim 7.

Claims 18 & 28 are met as previously discussed with respect to Claim 8.

Claims 19 & 29 are met as previously discussed with respect to Claim 9.

Claims 20 & 30 are met as previously discussed with respect to Claim 10.

With respect to Claim 31, the claimed *"wherein said at least one processor is one or more of a media processing system processor, a media management processor, a computer processor, a media exchange software processor and/or a media peripheral processor"* is met by Chen et al. that teach the use of an intelligent processor (100) in receiving, generating, & displaying an alert notification to a user at a first location (Abstract; Fig.1&2; col.2, lines 27-32 & lines 42-46; col.3, lines 47-53; col.5, lines 26-29; col.6, lines 15-53).

### **Conclusion**

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Omoigui et al (United States Patent 6,694,352).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KUNAL LANGHNOJA whose telephone number is 571-270-3583. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9 A.M- 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Beliveau can be reached on 571-272-7343. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For



more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KL

/Scott Beliveau/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427